MEETING: DATE: SUBJECT: REPORT OF:		Council Wednesday 14 May 2003 Application of Political Balance Rules to the Allocation of Seats on Council Bodies								
						David Robinson, Member Services Manager				
						Purpose:		To bring before Council information governing the allocation of seats for the Council year in order that proposals and nominations can be considered.		
		Contact:		David Robinson, Member Services Manager. Telephone 01323 415022 or internally on extension 5022, or Peter Finnis, Head of Democratic Services, Telephone 01323 41503 or internally on						
1.	Introduct	extension 5003.								
1.1		of Group Leaders and Deputy Leaders was held on Wednesday 7 May 2003. An earlie this report was presented to that meeting.								
2.	Current S	ent Structure (Executive Arrangements - Leader and Cabinet)								
2.1		er is based on the current structure as first formally adopted on 24 April 2002 and amended Council meeting on 16 April 2003:-								
2.2	Base perc	centages:-								
	No. of Co	uncillors: 27	% seats on Council							
			, I							

	Conservatives 13		48				
2.3	Committees. The proposed allocation of seats in accordance with the rules is as follows:-						
	Committee	No. of Seats	Controlling Grou	ир Орро	sition		
	Scrutiny	7	4		3		
	Planning & Lisc.	8	5		3		
	Standards*	4	2		2		
	Totals:	19	11		8		
	(* The political balance rule				as recently		
2.4	(* The political balance rule amended their Constitution to Committee). It is recognised that the allocation is below that to which proportion to their number of allocation is, however, in acconstitution and otherwise is controlling group a majority	cation of 8 seats to the a they would receive if f seats on the Council cordance with size of con accord with the rules	Conservative Group on a tit had been possible to a as a whole (i.e. 48% of a committees provided for on proportionality whice	the committees llocate a number 19 equals 9 sea in the Council	s listed er in strict ts). The		
	amended their Constitution to Committee). It is recognised that the allocation is below that to which proportion to their number of allocation is, however, in acconstitution and otherwise in	cation of 8 seats to the a they would receive if f seats on the Council cordance with size of con accord with the rules	Conservative Group on a tit had been possible to a as a whole (i.e. 48% of a committees provided for on proportionality whice	the committees llocate a number 19 equals 9 sea in the Council	s listed er in strict ts). The		
2.4	amended their Constitution to Committee). It is recognised that the allocation is below that to which proportion to their number of allocation is, however, in acc Constitution and otherwise is controlling group a majority	cation of 8 seats to the a they would receive if f seats on the Council cordance with size of con accord with the rules	Conservative Group on a it had been possible to a as a whole (i.e. 48% of a committees provided for on proportionality which ittee.	the committees llocate a number 19 equals 9 sea in the Council	s listed er in strict ts). The s		
	amended their Constitution to Committee). It is recognised that the allocation is below that to which proportion to their number of allocation is, however, in acc Constitution and otherwise is controlling group a majority Other Bodies	cation of 8 seats to the athey would receive if if seats on the Council cordance with size of confusion accord with the rules of seats on each communication.	Conservative Group on a sit had been possible to a sa a whole (i.e. 48% of sommittees provided for on proportionality which ittee. Seats Contr	the committees llocate a numble equals 9 sea in the Council h guarantee the	s listed er in strict ts). The		
	amended their Constitution to Committee). It is recognised that the allocation is below that to which proportion to their number of allocation is, however, in acc Constitution and otherwise is controlling group a majority Other Bodies Body	cation of 8 seats to the a they would receive if if seats on the Council cordance with size of con accord with the rules of seats on each comm	Conservative Group on a sit had been possible to a sa a whole (i.e. 48% of sommittees provided for on proportionality which interest the contract of the contr	the committees llocate a number of the Council h guarantee the olling Group	of listed er in strict ts). The s Oppositio n		

			_				
	Grants Task Group	3	2	1			
	Place to Enjoy Forum	3	2	1			
	race to Enjoy Forum	J	2	1			
	Cleansing and Highways Forum	2	1	1			
	Downland Forum	4	2	2			
	Totals:	27	15	12			
2.6	Adjustments may be made to give the controlling group a majority of seats, however, past Borough Council practice has been to allow an equality of seats on some advisory and consultative bodies notwithstanding one party's superior number of Council seats.						
2.7	Joint Staff Committee. The current constitution provides for the 6 seats to be shared equally between the two largest groups.`						
2.8	Eastbourne Buses Board. The tradition has been for the Board to comprise 2 members from each of the largest political groups. This has held true irrespective of the overall proportion of seats. The Chairman of the Board has come from the controlling group.						
2.9	Other Task Groups and Best Value Review Teams. So far as is possible, groups are recommended to retain existing membership so as to maintain continuity and allow members to continue or complete work already begun.						
David I	Robinson						
Membe	er Services Manager						
8 May	2003						
Agenda	ound papers: a and papers, including guidance note ted to the Leaders' meeting on 7 May		litical balance rules,	as			
	uncrl\03.05.14\poi bal rep)						